

Literature Reloaded:

using databases to explore literary trends

Lucija Dačić

lucija.dacic@bristol.ac.uk

Researching genre literature

-
- Existing research on genre perception relies on stereotypes and ‘common knowledge’
- Reports on genre popularity rely almost exclusively on sales data
- **No clear definitions:** genres are fuzzy sets, easy to recognise at the centre but vague near the edge
- **No standard labelling:** publishers use broad categories

Speculative fiction

“Works presenting modes of being that contrast with their audiences’ understanding of reality, [with] key emphasis on speculative representation of what would happen had the actual chain of events or the matrix of reality-conditions been replaced with other conditions.”

- Gill 2013

Cambridge English Corpus



- A multi-billion word collection of written, spoken and learner texts compiled by Cambridge University Press.
- Primarily used in-house to inform English Language Teaching department and publications.
- Access is restricted to researchers working for Cambridge University Press and Cambridge English Language Assessment.

Cambridge English Corpus



Tools:

- **Word sketch difference** (how words differ in their context and behaviour)
- **'Thesaurus'** (words used in similar context)
- **Word sketch** (important co-occurring words)

Cambridge English Corpus



Problems:

- Number of entries falls sharply after 2008
- Small sample size

Nielsen BookScan



-
- A commercial service aimed at publishing houses that gathers weekly sales figures from various UK booksellers
- Their statistics capture over 90% of British print book market
- Access is restricted to institutions who are Nielsen BookScan subscribers

Problems:

- Only rudimentary ebook and self-published market tracking
- Tracking by ISBN - bestseller charts do not always reflect actual bestselling titles
- Broad genre categories

Goodreads

goodreads

- The world's largest site for readers and book recommendations
- Over 30 million members
- Over 900 million books
- Users can categorise books with no pre-set labels or category names

-
- Tracking popularity without having to rely exclusively on book sales data
- Tracking perception of genre through user-created labels
- Combined with Nielsen data: an insight into popularity of genres and discrepancies in use of genre labels

Sources

- — —
- Chadwick, Kristie. 2013. New worlds to explore: military sf and space opera stage a revival, fantasy goes dark,digital publishing is here to stay. *Library Journal*, 138 (13), p.22.
- Chadwick, Kristie. 2012. Hungry for SF: genre crossovers retain fans and attract new readers. *Library Journal*,137(13), p.18.
- Fox, Rose. 2012. Crossing the streams: with publishing in flux, genres mix and mingle. *Publishers Weekly*, 259(37),pp.24.
- Frow, John. 2006. *Genre*. London: Routledge.
- Gill, R. B. 2013. The Uses of Genre and the Classification of Speculative Fiction. *Mosaic: a journal for the interdisciplinary study of literature*, 46 (2), pp.71-85.
- Hollands, Neil. 2011. Sf/fantasy's epic journey: high fantasy makes a comeback, sf searches for a renaissance. *Library Journal*, 136 (13), pp.20.
- James, Edward and Mendlesohn, Farah eds. 2003. *The Cambridge companion to science fiction*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Killheffer, Robert K. J. 2000. Merging, but not yielding. *Publishers Weekly*, 247 (3), pp.32.
- Squires, Clare. 2007. *Marketing Literature: The Making of Contemporary Writing in Britain*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Thompson, John B. 2012. *Merchants of culture: the publishing business in the twenty-first century*. Cambridge:Polity.
- Vanderhooft, JoSelle. 2010. Opening New Doors. *Publishers Weekly*, 257 (15), pp.22-27.

Thank you!